# Impact Analysis Report

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0151** (RTC-57998) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM467718, IM467790 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-AT |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1-v1.00 – CSE-v51.6.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | | G0126 wording updates | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1 - CSE-v51.6.0): G0126 wording updates** |
| In NCTS-P5, DDNTA-v5.14.1, the Guideline G0126 is assigned on the Data Item <CONTROL RESULT. Code> in several messages. According to the business logic, in case of a PURE simplified procedure the correct value is 'A3'. On the other hand, the value ‘A3’ shall not be used, in case of simplified procedure AND if the Customs Office of Departure decides to control the movement.  Currently the wording of G0126 is partially correct and thus misleading.  Current wording of Guideline G0126:  “In case of simplified procedure the value 'A3' shall be used in the Data item.”  The wording of the Guideline shall be updated for clarity purposes in order to define the definition of values ‘A1’, ‘A2’and ‘A3’. |

**Section 2: Problem Statement**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| In the latest version of the CSE deliverable (NCTS-P5 DDNTA-v5.14.1 based on CSE-v51.6.0), the Guideline G0126 is assigned on the Data Item <CONTROL RESULT. Code> in several messages.  More specifically in messages:  CD001C, CD003C, CD050C, CD038C, CC029C, CD115C, CD165C and CD160C.  According to the business logic, in case of a PURE simplified procedure the correct value is 'A3'. On the other hand, the value ‘A3’ shall not be used, **in case of simplified procedure AND if the Customs Office of Departure decides to control the movement.**  Currently the wording of G0126 is partially correct and thus misleading.  Current wording of Guideline G0126:    The wording of the Guideline shall be updated for clarity purposes in order to define the definition of values ‘A1’, ‘A2’and ‘A3’ as follows:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Value | Definition/ usage | | ‘A1’ (Satisfactory) | When the goods are released for transit after physical control (full or partial) and no discrepancies were detected; | | ‘A2’(Considered satisfactory) | When the goods are released for transit after documentary control only (no physical control) and no discrepancies were detected or without any control | | ‘A3’ (Simplified procedure) | In case of simplified procedure without control performed by the Customs Office of Departure (the goods are released for transit by an authorised consignor) | |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the CSE-v51.6.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow** – removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~ ):    The Guideline will be corrected as follows:  **G0126:**  **Technical Description:**  N/A  **Functional Description:**  ~~In case of simplified procedure the value 'A3' shall be used in the Data item.~~  The value of this Data Item should be:  ‘A1’ (Satisfactory): when the goods are released for transit after physical control (full or partial) and no discrepancies were detected;  ‘A2’ (Considered satisfactory): when the goods are released for transit after documentary control only (no physical control) and no discrepancies were detected or without any control;  ‘A3’ (Simplified procedure): In case of simplified procedure without control performed by the Customs Office of Departure (the goods are released for transit by an authorised consignor)  **NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43 file:** will not be impacted  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal describes a **purely documentary**improvement (guideline description) with no implementation impact. It is considered that the change proposed via the current RFC-Proposal has no impact on business continuity and can therefore be deployed in a **flexible way** approach.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops):   As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition**: None  **Risk of not implementing the change:** None  **Impacted R/Cs, Guidelines etc.:**   * G0126   **Impacted CIs:**   * **CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;** * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2, PDFs): Yes;** * **DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: Yes (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): Yes;** * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No; * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * CRP-v5.5-v1.00: No; * ACS: 5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * TRP-5.7.5: No; * DDCOM v20.3.0-v1.00: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No; |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CSE-v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in section 3** | |
| **DDNTA-v5.14.1**  **(Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Appendices generated by CSE + Appendix Q2, Q2\_R\_C** | |
| **DMP Package-v5.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Rules and Conditions\_v0.43 file to be updated** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | This RFC-Proposal describes a purely documentary improvement (guideline description) with no implementation impact. It is considered that the change proposed via the current RFC-Proposal has no impact on business continuity and can therefore be deployed in a flexible way approach. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 17/11/2021 | *Draft by CUSTDEV* |
| v0.11 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 15/12/2021 | *Version Update* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | SfA to NPMs |